SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, APRIL 19, 2012 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S178823 H031992 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. HERNANDEZ (JACOB TOWNLEY)

Opinion filed: Judgment reversed

The judgment of the Court of Appeal is reversed. The case is remanded to the court to conduct further proceedings consistent with this opinion.

Majority Opinion by Werdegar, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

S201751 A135091 First Appellate District, Div. 1 WONG (ANDREW) v. S.C.

(PEOPLE)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S200039 H036624 Sixth Appellate District EISKAMP (JOHN G.) v.

PAJARO VALLEY WATER MANAGEMENT AGENCY

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 4, 2012.

S200376 B221870 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 BUZENES (PATRICIA) v.

NUVELL FINANCIAL

SERVICES

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 1, 2012.

S200423 B226743 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 WATER REPLENISHMENT

DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA v. CITY OF CERRITOS/(CITY OF LAKEWOOD)/(CENTRAL BASIN MUNICIPAL WATER

DISTRICT)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to

May 25, 2012.

S200475 B225932 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 WESTERN STATES

> PETROLEUM ASSOCIATION v. STATE BOARD OF

EQUALIZATION

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to May 29, 2012.

B231787 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 S200500 MARKEN (ARI) v. SANTA

> MONICA-MALIBU UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT/(CHWE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to May 30, 2012.

S200503 E055573 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PIZZA HUT OF SOUTHEAST

KANSAS, INC. v. S.C.

(GOMEZ)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to May 31, 2012.

S200565 B231119 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 IN RE G.B.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 1, 2012.

S200594 B219113 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 KLEIN (ALLEN RAY) v. CHEVRON U.S.A., INC.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 1, 2012.

S200599 A127544 First Appellate District, Div. 3 **BRAIN RESEARCH LABS**

> LLC v. CLARKE, JR., (THOMAS HOWARD)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 1, 2012.

S200600 H036619 Sixth Appellate District

BRATTON (HENRY R.) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 1, 2012.

S200603 E055620 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **THOMPSON (JONATHAN LAFARRELL) ON H.C.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 4, 2012.

S200610 E051108 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. THOMPSON (JONATHAN LAFARRELL)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 4, 2012.

S200628 B229636 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 RECEIVERSHIP ESTATE

OVER SPECIFIC ASSETS OF RCVR v. SCHRAMM (JAMES)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 5, 2012.

S040704

PEOPLE v. JOHNSEN (BRIAN DAVID)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Neoma Kenwood's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by January 31, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 15, 2012. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 225 additional days will be granted.

S047868

PEOPLE v. GEORGE (JOHNATON SAMPSON)

Extension of time denied

Appellant's twentieth request for extension of time to file appellant's reply brief is denied.

S084996

PEOPLE v. CHHOUN (RUN PETER)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Denise Anton's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by September 14, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 15, 2012. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S086578

PEOPLE v. LOOT (KENDRICK) & MILLSAP (BRUCE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Craig Buckser's representation that he anticipates filing appellant Kendrick Loot's opening brief by June 15, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 15, 2012. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S093944

PEOPLE v. BERTSCH (JOHN ANTHONY) & HRONIS (JEFFERY LEE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Jeffery Lee Hronis and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 15, 2012.

S097414

PEOPLE v. KOPATZ (KIM RAYMOND)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Andrew Mestman's representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by July 16, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 18, 2012. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S106274

PEOPLE v. CARO (SOCORRO SUSAN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Tracy J. Dressner's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by November 30, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 15, 2012. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 170 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S110804

PEOPLE v. ACREMANT (ROBERT JAMES)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 15, 2012.

S115378

PEOPLE v. WOODRUFF (STEVE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Arlene A. Sevidal's representation that she anticipates filing the respondent's brief by June 15, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 15, 2012. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S152556

PEOPLE v. OROZCO (JOSE LUIS)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 26, 2012.

S155160

PEOPLE v. RAMIREZ (IRVING ALEXANDER)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 18, 2012.

S199830 E051465 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

HARRISON (KELVIN) v. BOARD OF PAROLE HEARINGS (PEOPLE)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to May 14, 2012.

S189721 G040507 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 IN RE J.L.

Counsel appointment order filed

The order filed on March 29, 2011, appointing the Paul R. Ward as counsel of record for appellant is hereby vacated.

Appellate Defenders, Inc., is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S177403 B214119 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

UNITED TEACHERS LOS ANGELES v. LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Order filed

The request of respondent to allocate to amicus curiae Green Dot Public Schools 10 minutes of respondent's 30-minute allotted time for oral argument is granted.

S177403 B214119 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

UNITED TEACHERS LOS ANGELES v. LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Order filed

The request of appellant to allocate to amicus curiae California Teachers Association 10 minutes of appellant's 30-minute allotted time for oral argument is granted.

S187587 B219894 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 IN RE ETHAN C.

Order filed

The request of Los Angeles County Department of Children and Family Services to allocate to amicus curiae California State Association of Counties 10 minutes of Los Angeles County Department of Children and Family Services 30-minute allotted time for oral argument is granted.

S191550 B202789 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

SARGON ENTERPRISES, INC. v. UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

Order filed

The application of the University of Southern California for leave to file reply to the consolidated response of Sargon Enterprises, Inc., to briefs of amici curiae is hereby granted.

S201836

WOODALL (SHAWN JAMES ALLEN) v. S.C. (GORE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.